


Toward Accurate and Efficient Order-Independent Transparency

Ethan Kerzner Department of Computer Science University of Iowa

Framebuffer Offsets Offsets Overview Framebuffer Correctly rendering multi-layered transparent geometry requires accumulating contributions from multiple fragments per pixel. Dynamic A-buffers (e.g., Yang et al's [2010] per-pixel linked lists) achieve this by storing and sorting fragments on-the-fly. We introduce an improvement to recent GPU-based interactive A-buffer -1 techniques: we decouple visibility and shading to reduce memory demands of multi-fragment rendering. Fragment Buffer Fragment Buffer The Compact A-buffer Primitive Buffer Existing interactive A-buffers store shading and visibility inside fragment lists, saving per primitive shading data Left: traditional A-buffers (such as the S-buffer shown here [Vasilakis and repeatedly for multiple pixels. Decoupling storage of primitive and fragment data in our new compact A-buffer significantly reduces memory overhead. This approach resembles the decoupling proposed by Liktor and Fudos 2012]) store multiple copies of Dachsbacher's [2012] compact G-buffer. per-primitive shading data across fragments. Right: the compact A-buffer reduces the storage of redundant primitive data. S-Buffer and Compact S-Buffer Performancee Memory Footprints of Dynamic A-Buffers 6×10^{7} S-buffer S-buffer ■ Compact S-buffer **Performance and Memory Usage** 5×10^{7} Compact S-buffer When primitive count exceeds fragment count, our compact A-buffer has a larger memory footprint. However, our 4×10^{7} compact A-buffer scales more efficiently as fragment count increases. This scaling comes at the cost of an additional layer 53×10^{-7} of indirection while accessing shading data, increasing shader execution time. ق 2×10 ALO 100000 200000 300000 400000 500000 600000 600 000 400 000 500 000 300,000 Fragment Count Fragment Count Memory usage (left) and frame time (right) of regular and compact A-buffers, computed using OpenGL 4.3 on an Nvidia GeForce 690 at 1024^2 . **Non-Optical Rendering** Accurate and efficient OIT has applications to non-optical rendering such as ballistic simulations. Particularly, optical transparency computes the light absorbed as photons pass through the environment, A tank model representative of those used in ballistic simulations rendered with the Compact A-Buffer. Shown whereas ballistic simulation computes the energy absorbed as projectiles pass through an object [Butler here with flat shading (left), layer counting (center) and bullet-ray vision (right). and Stephens 2007]. **Future Work** Our future work may examine the performance and accuracy tradeoffs between exact and approximate raster-based transparency for non-optical rendering applications. We may also compare order-independent transparency algorithms with ray tracing for ballistic simulations. References [Butler and Stephens 07] L. Butler and A. Stephens. Bullet Ray Vision. In *IEEE Symposium on Interactive Ray Tracing*, 2007. [Liktor and Dachsbacher 12] G. Liktor and C. Dachsbacher. Decoupled Deferred Shading for Hardware Rasterization. In ACM *Symposium on Interactive 3D Graphics and Games*, 2012.






Chris Wyman


Department of Computer Science University of Iowa





Lee A. Butler U.S. Army Research Laboratory


Christiaan Gribble Applied Technology Operation SURVICE Engineering














[Vasilakis and Fudos 12] A. Vasilakis and I. Fudos. Sparsity Aware Multi-fragment Rendering. In Eurographics, 2012. [Yang et al. 10] J. Yang, J. Hensley, H. Grün, and N. Thibieroz. Real-Time Concurrent Linked List Construction on the GPU. *Computer Graphics Forum*, 29:1297–1304, 2010.

